

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS**

IN RE PHARMACEUTICAL INDUSTRY
AVERAGE WHOLESALE PRICE
LITIGATION

MDL NO. 1456
Civil Action No. 01-12257-PBS

Judge Patti B. Saris

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

The City of New York v. Abbott Labs., et al.
(S.D.N.Y. No. 04-CV-06054)
County of Suffolk v. Abbott Labs., et al.
(E.D.N.Y. No. 03-CV-229)
County of Westchester v. Abbott Labs., et al.
(S.D.N.Y. No. 03-CV-6178)
County of Rockland v. Abbott Labs., et al.
(S.D.N.Y. No. 03-CV-7055)
County of Dutchess v. Abbott Labs., et al.
(S.D.N.Y. No. 05-CV-06458)
County of Putnam v. Abbott Labs., et al.
(S.D.N.Y. No. 05-CV-04740)
County of Washington v. Abbott Labs., et al.
(N.D.N.Y. No. 05-CV-00408)
County of Rensselaer v. Abbott Labs., et al.
(N.D.N.Y. No. 05-CV-00422)
County of Albany v. Abbott Labs., et al.
(N.D.N.Y. No. 05-CV-00425)

[Caption Continues on Next Page]

**DEFENDANTS' JOINT MOTION
TO COMPEL THE PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS**

<i>County of Warren v. Abbott Labs., et al.</i>)
(N.D.N.Y. No. 05-CV-00468))
<i>County of Greene v. Abbott Labs., et al.</i>)
(N.D.N.Y. No. 05-CV-00474))
<i>County of Saratoga v. Abbott Labs., et al.</i>)
(N.D.N.Y. No. 05-CV-00478))
<i>County of Columbia v. Abbott Labs., et al.</i>)
(N.D.N.Y. No. 05-CV-00867))
<i>Essex County v. Abbott Labs., et al.</i>)
(N.D.N.Y. No. 05-CV-00878))
<i>County of Chenango v. Abbott Labs., et al.</i>)
(N.D.N.Y. No. 05-CV-00354))
<i>County of Broome v. Abbott Labs., et al.</i>)
(N.D.N.Y. No. 05-CV-00456))
<i>County of Onondaga v. Abbott Labs., et al.</i>)
(N.D.N.Y. No. 05-CV-00088))
<i>County of Tompkins v. Abbott Labs., et al.</i>)
(N.D.N.Y. No. 05-CV-00397))
<i>County of Cayuga v. Abbott Labs., et al.</i>)
(N.D.N.Y. No. 05-CV-00423))
<i>County of Madison v. Abbott Labs., et al.</i>)
(N.D.N.Y. No. 05-CV-00714))
<i>County of Cortland v. Abbott Labs., et al.</i>)
(N.D.N.Y. No. 05-CV-00881))
<i>County of Herkimer v. Abbott Labs. et al.</i>)
(N.D.N.Y. No. 05-CV-00415))
<i>County of Oneida v. Abbott Labs., et al.</i>)
(N.D.N.Y. No. 05-CV-00489))
<i>County of Fulton v. Abbott Labs., et al.</i>)
(N.D.N.Y. No. 05-CV-00519))
<i>County of St. Lawrence v. Abbott Labs., et al.</i>)
(N.D.N.Y. No. 05-CV-00479))
<i>County of Jefferson v. Abbott Labs., et al.</i>)
(N.D.N.Y. No. 05-CV-00715))
<i>County of Lewis v. Abbott Labs., et al.</i>)
(N.D.N.Y. No. 05-CV-00839))
<i>County of Chautauqua v. Abbott Labs., et al.</i>)
(W.D.N.Y. No. 05-CV-06204))
<i>County of Allegany v. Abbott Labs., et al.</i>)
(W.D.N.Y. No. 05-CV-06231))
<i>County of Cattaraugus v. Abbott Labs., et al.</i>)
(W.D.N.Y. No. 05-CV-06242))

<i>County of Genesee v. Abbott Labs., et al.</i>)
(W.D.N.Y. No. 05-CV-06206))
<i>County of Wayne v. Abbott Labs., et al.</i>)
(W.D.N.Y. No. 05-CV-06138))
<i>County of Monroe v. Abbott Labs., et al.</i>)
(W.D.N.Y. No. 05-CV-06148))
<i>County of Yates v. Abbott Labs., et al.</i>)
(W.D.N.Y. No. 05-CV-06172))
<i>County of Niagara v. Abbott Labs., et al.</i>)
(W.D.N.Y. No. 05-CV-06296))
<i>County of Seneca v. Abbott Labs., et al.</i>)
(W.D.N.Y. No. 05-CV-06370))
<i>County of Orleans v. Abbott Labs., et al.</i>)
(W.D.N.Y. No. 05-CV-06371))
<i>County of Ontario v. Abbott Labs., et al.</i>)
(W.D.N.Y. No. 05-CV-06373))
<i>County of Schuyler v. Abbott Labs., et al.</i>)
(W.D.N.Y. No. 05-CV-06387))
<i>County of Steuben v. Abbott Labs., et al.</i>)
(W.D.N.Y. No. 05-CV-06223))
<i>County of Chemung v. Abbott Labs., et al.</i>)
(W.D.N.Y. No. 05-CV-06744))
AND)
<i>County of Nassau v. Abbott Labs., et al.</i>)
(E.D.N.Y. No. 04-CV-5126))

Pursuant to Rule 37(a) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Defendants Schering-Plough Corporation, Schering Corporation, and Warrick Pharmaceuticals Corporation, on behalf of all defendants (collectively, “Defendants”), respectfully move to compel the New York Department of Health to produce all documents it has withheld on the basis of the deliberative process privilege. In support of this motion, Defendants submit the attached Memorandum in Support of Defendants’ Joint Motion to Compel the Production of Documents.

WHEREFORE, for the reasons set forth the attached Memorandum, Defendants respectfully ask that the Court grant this motion to compel and order the New York Department of Health to produce all documents it has withheld on the basis of the deliberative process privilege, along with any other relief that the Court finds appropriate.

REQUEST FOR ORAL ARGUMENT

Pursuant to Rule 7.1(D), Defendants believe that oral argument may assist the Court in resolving the issues presented in this motion, and pursuant to Local Rule 7.1(d) they therefore respectfully request a hearing and argument.

Respectfully submitted,

Schering-Plough Corporation, Schering
Corporation, and Warrick Pharmaceuticals
Corporation, on behalf of all Defendants

/s/ John P. Bueker

John T. Montgomery (BBO #352220)

John P. Bueker (BBO #636435)

Kim B. Nemirow (BBO #663258)

Russell Patrick Plato (BBO #671087)

ROPES & GRAY LLP

One International Place

Boston, Massachusetts 02110-2624

(617) 951-7000

Dated: December 23, 2009

CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO LOCAL RULES 7.1 AND 37.1

Pursuant to Local Rules 7.1.(a)(2) and 37.1(b), I hereby certify that counsel for Defendants conferred with the New York Department of Health regarding the issues addressed in this motion but were unable to resolve or narrow those issues.

/s/ Russell Patrick. Plato

Russell Patrick Plato

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on December 23, 2009, I caused a true and correct copy of the foregoing to be served on all counsel of record by electronic service pursuant to Case Management Order No. 2 entered by the Honorable Patti B. Saris in MDL 1456.

/s/ Russell Patrick Plato

Russell Patrick Plato